



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,239	07/02/2001	Thomas J. Kredo	7000-079	8230

27820 7590 12/03/2002  
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 1287  
CARY, NC 27512

EXAMINER

CHOW, MING

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2645

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/897,239	KREDO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ming Chow	2645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ming Chow. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Terra DevinPort. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Griffith et al (US 6366651).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant is concerned about how the claimed "characteristic" is interpreted. After discussion with Primary (A. Hoosain) and SPE (F. Tsan) an example was offered to the applicant that the "format" on column 5 line 20 of Griffith et al is considered as a characteristic. Applicant is also concerned about being unable to list all message characteristics. Applicant agreed to consult with inventors to see if other terms and phrases can be better used.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
SCOTT L. WEAVER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
Art Unit 2645

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required